

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/652,370 | 08/31/2000 | James P. Allen | AUS000537US1 | 4444 | |
| . 75 | 90 11/04/2003 | | EXAMI | EXAMINER | |
| Joseph T Van Leeuwen | | | FAROOQ, MOHAMMAD O | | |
| P O Box 81641 Austin, TX 78708-1641 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2182 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 11/04/2003 | , ,) | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | _ | | pre | | | | |
|---|--|---|-------------|--|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
| | 09/652,370 | ALLEN ET AL. | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | Mohammad O. Farooq | 2182 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply | ppears on the cover sheet with | the correspondence addres | is | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repepty within the statutory minimum of thirty in will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI ute, cause the application to become ABA | oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this commu NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | inication. | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on O | 7 August 2003 . | | | | | | |
| 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ - | This action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allocal closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims | | | erits is | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the application | on. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdo | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| i) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4,7,9,10,13,17,19-21,23,26 and</u> | <u>127</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) <u>3,5,6,8,11,12,14-16,18,22,24 and 2</u> | Claim(s) <u>3,5,6,8,11,12,14-16,18,22,24 and 25</u> is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers | /or election requirement. | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin | ner. | | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>31 August 2000</u> is/are | e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objecte | ed to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to | the drawing(s) be held in abeyar | nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | |
| 11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on | is: a)□ approved b)□ dis | sapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in | reply to this Office action. | | | | | | |
| 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the I | Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore | ign priority under 35 U.S.C. § | 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | | | |
| Certified copies of the priority docume | ents have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority docume | ents have been received in Ap | plication No | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the praphication from the International It is See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the certified copies of the properties. | Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | ge | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome | stic priority under 35 U.S.C. § | 119(e) (to a provisional ap | plication). | | | | |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language p 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome | The state of the s | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s | 5) Notice of In | ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-15 | | | | | |

Art Unit: 2182

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 1. Claims 1, 4, 7, 9, 13, 17, 19, 20, 23, 26, and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yasrebi, U.S. Pat. No. 5,463,625.
- 2. As to claim 1, Yasrebi teaches method comprising: receiving a close request (prior to put to sleep); and setting the adapter to a quasi-open state (put to sleep mode) in response to receiving the close request (col. 10, lines 52-64).
- 3. As to claim 4, Yasrebi teaches system maintaining a set of minimal resources (when it is put to sleep; col. 10, lines 52-64).
- 4. As to claim 7, Yasrebi teaches releasing extended resources corresponding with the adapter (after waking up; col. 10, lines 47-52).

Application/Control Number: 09/652,370 Page 3

Art Unit: 2182

5. As to claim 9, Yasrebi teaches current state from a group consisting open (after waking up), closed (after termination) and quasi-open (during put to sleep; col. 10, lines 38-64).

- 6. Claims 13, 17, and 19 comprise same limitations as method claims 4, 7, and 9 respectively. Yasrebi teaches method as set forth in claims 4, 7, and 9. Therefore, Yasrebi also teaches apparatus as set forth in claims 13, 17, and 19.
- 7. Claims 20, 23, 26, and 27 comprise same limitations as method claims 1, 4, 7, and 9 respectively. Yasrebi teaches method as set forth in claims 1, 4, 7, and 9. Therefore, Yasrebi also teaches computer program product as set forth in claims 20, 23, 26, and 27.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 2 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yasrebi, U.S. Pat. No. 5,463,625 in view of Ahlberg et al. U.S. Pat. No. 5,574,774.

Application/Control Number: 09/652,370

Art Unit: 2182

9. As to claims 2 and 21, Yasrebi does not teach determining open state between the adapter and the fibre channel and maintaining the open state.

Ahlberg et al. teach determining open state between adapter and the fibre channel and maintaining the open state (col. 11, lines 19-35). However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to combine the teachings of Yasrebi and Ahlberg et al. because that would provide the user to simply establish the additional communications via the open communication link without significant delay or without competing with other users because of limited channels (col. 4, lines 28-50).

- 10. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yasrebi, U.S. Pat. No. 5,463,625 in view of Ho et al. U.S. Pat. No. 6,038,235.
- 11. As to claim 10, Yasrebi teaches system comprising:

means for receiving close request (prior to put to sleep) and setting the adapter (or a device such as workstation) to a quasi-open state (put to sleep mode) in response to receiving the close request (col. 10, lines 52-64).

Yasrebi does not teach processors, memory, nonvolatile storage device and fibre channel. Ho et al. teach processors, memory, nonvolatile storage device (non volatile memory) and fibre channel (col. 1, lines 32-46). However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to combine the teachings of

Art Offit. 2 102

Yasrebi and Ho et al. because that would place a target device coupled to fiber channel in an open state for receiving data from the host electronic device (col. 4, lines 32-35).

Allowable Subject Matter

12. Claims 3, 5, 6, 8, 11, 12, 14, 15, 16, 18, 22, 24 and 25 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

- 13. Applicant's arguments filed August 7, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 14. Examiner would like to point out the key concept of the invention is mentioned/taught by the Yasrebi wherein the adapter goes to quasi-open state when a close request is received. The reference does not specifically mention the words close, open and quasi-open but the key concept is taught in the reference (Yasrebi) by the concept of "put to sleep" since the independent claims are too broad and the claim language is interpreted in the broadest possible manner. Also, the applicants'

Application/Control Number: 09/652,370

Art Unit: 2182

representative failed to give enough details to the independent claims or to provide further explanation to the independent claims to make the claim language distinct from the reference. Further, the applicants' representative did not make objected dependent claims in independent form or incorporate the objected limitations of one or more dependent claims to the limitations of all of the independent form to make the application for a possible allowance. Therefore, the examiner retains the rejection.

- 15. The examiner has provided Ahlberg et al. reference to reject the limitations present in claims 2 and 21, and has objected to claims 3, 6, 16 and 22 since no reference is provided for these claims.
- 16. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2182

17. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mohammad O. Farooq whose telephone number is (703) 305-3888. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am - 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey A. Gaffin can be reached on (703) 308-3301. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Mohammad O. Farooq October 30, 2003

Rehann Penveen Art Unit 2182